

School of Human Kinetics & Recreation Co-operative Education

School of Human Kinetics and Recreation Physical Education Building
Memorial University of Newfoundland
St. John's, Newfoundland
A1C 5S7 Canada
A1C 5S7 Canada

Tel: (709) 864-2172

Fax: (709) 864-3979 hkrcoop@mun.ca

## Co-operative Education

| Employer's Evaluation of Work Term                        |  |   |  |   |                           |
|---|--|---|--|---|---------------------------|
| STUDENT:  |  | V   | VORK TERM:   |   |                           |
| EMPLOYER:   |  |   | LOCATION:  |   |                           |
| START DATE:   | FACULTY:   |   |  |   |                           |
| SECTION 2 -   | Skills and Attr  | ibutes  |  |   |                           |
| Little Interest or enthusiasm for job.                    | Interest spasmodic.<br>Occasionally<br>enthusiastic.             | Satisfactory amount of interest and enthusiasm for job. | More than average amount of interest and enthusiasm for job. | High interest in job.<br>Very enthusiastic.<br>Takes pride in doing<br>work well. | ☐No basis for evaluation. |
| Initiative  |  |   |  |   |                           |
| Al ways wants to be told what to do next.                 | Relies on others. Must be told what to do frequently.            | Acts voluntarily in routine matters.                    | Acts voluntarily in most matters.                            | Self-starter. Asks for new jobs. Looks for work to do. Does not waste time.       | No basis for evaluation.  |
| Organization and  | Planning   |   |  |   |                           |
| Consistently fails to organize and plan work effectively. | More often than not fails to organize and plan work effectively. | Does normal amount of planning and organizing.          | Usually organizes work and time effectively.                 | Does an outstanding job of planning and organizing work and time.                 | No basis for evaluation.  |
| Ability to Learn  |  |   |  |   |                           |
| Very slow in understanding new information.               | Rather slow understanding new information.                       | Average.  | Quick to learn.  | Excellent.  | No basis for evaluation.  |
| Quality of Work   |  |   |  |   |                           |
| Work usually done in careless manner.                     | More than average number of errors for a                         | Work usually passes review. Has                         | Usually thorough, good work. Few errors.                     | Very thorough in performing work. Very  | No basis for evaluation.  |

| Quantity of Worl   | K   |   |   |  |                          |
|--|---|---|---|--|--------------------------|
| Very low productivity.   | Less productive than expected.  | Expected amount of productivity.  | More than expected amount of productivity.  | Highly productive.   | No basis for evaluation. |
| Creativity/Proble  | em Solving  |   |   |  |                          |
| Rarely has a new idea; is not very innovative.   | Occasionally comes up with a new idea.  | Has reasonable number of new ideas.                                     | Frequently suggests new ways of doing things; is very innovative.   | Continually seeks new and better ways of doing things; is extremely innovative.  | No basis for evaluation. |
| Dependability  |   |   |   |  |                          |
| Unreliable.  | Somewhat unreliable, needs above average checking.  | Can be depended upon in routine situations.                             | Can usually be depended upon in most situations.  | Can be depended upon in any situation.   | No basis for evaluation. |
| Judgement  |   |   |   |  |                          |
| Poor judgement. Jumps to conclusions without sufficient knowledge.   | Judgement often undependable.   | Judgement usually good in routine situations.                           | Uses good common sense. Usually makes good decisions.   | Exceptionally good. Decisions based on thorough analysis of problems.  | No basis for evaluation. |
| Interpersonal Sk   | ills  |   |   |  |                          |
| Frequently quarrelsome, causes friction; overly quiet and withdrawn to the point of having an adverse effect on group. (Under the appropriate phrase). | Sometimes antagonizes. Tends to be uncommunicative. Rarely contributes to teamwork.   | Most relations with others are harmonious under normal circumstances.   | Congenial and helpful. Works well with associates. Seen as an asset in furthering co-operation and group harmony. | Al ways works in harmony with others. An excellent team worker. Contributes to group relationships and effectiveness.      | No basis for evaluation. |
| Response to Su   | pervision   |   |   |  |                          |
| Resents suggestions and criticism by supervisor or needs close supervision or has difficulty in accepting change. (Underline appropriate phrase).      | Reluctantly accepts suggestions and criticism by supervisor. Sometimes fails to recognize own limitations and needs to ask direction. | Accepts suggestions and criticism by supervisor in satisfactory manner. | ☐ Willingly accepts suggestions and criticism by supervisor.  | Expresses appreciation and takes prompt action on suggestions and criticism by supervisor. Very open-minded and confident. | No basis for evaluation. |
| Communication  | - Written   |   |   |  |                          |
| Not clear to the extent that it causes confusion or interferes with the performance of work.   | Satisfactory, occasionally is unclear and not concise.  | Usually clear and concise.  | Normally very clear, well organized and easily read.  | Always clear, well organized, concise, and readable, with few errors.  | No basis for evaluation. |

| Communication -  | Oral  |                            |   |  |                          |
|--|---|----------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|
| Not clear to the extent that it causes confusion or interferes with the performance of work. | Satisfactory, occasionally encounters difficulty in speaking clearly and concisely. | Usually clear and concise. | Normally very clear and understandable. | Conveys information in a clear, well-organized and easily understood manner.             | No basis for evaluation. |
| Leadership Qualit  | ies   |                            |   |  |                          |
| Not approachable   | Poor, unable to organize and motivate the work of others.                           | Adequate.                  | Above average.                          | Excellent, promotes enthusiasm, can direct others.                                       | No basis for evaluation. |
| Adaptation to Formal Organizations, Rules & Policies (including safety guidelines)           |   |                            |   |  |                          |
| ☐ Not applicable.  | Poor, refused to recognize formal procedures and rules.                             | Adequate.                  | Above average.                          | Excellent, adapted to and recognized formal organization structures, rules and policies. | No basis for evaluation. |
| Attendance   |   | Punctuality                |   | Grooming/Appear  | rance                    |
| ☐ Irregular.   | Regular.  | Irregular.                 | Regular.                                | Inappropriate.   | Appropriate.             |
| Overall Performan  | ice   |                            |   |  |                          |
| Unsatisfactory.  | Needs improvem  | nent. Satisfactor          | y. Above                                | e average.   | Excellent.               |
| Areas of Strengt   | h   |                            | Areas for Improve                       | ement  |                          |
| 2.   |   |                            | 2.                                      |  |                          |
| 3.   |   |                            | 3.                                      |  |                          |
|  |   |                            |   |  |                          |
| Recommended Academic Exposure: (if applicable)   |   |                            |   |  |                          |
| Recommended Work Experience: (if applicable)   |   |                            |   |  |                          |

| Has the student been given feedback on his/her performance during the term?  Yes. No.                                       |
|---|
| Has the student made an effort to improve in areas noted? Yes. No.  |
| Has the student made progress in areas noted? Yes. No.  |
| SECTION 3 - Achievement of Objectives   |
| From the outline in Section 1, please indicate how well the student met the stated performance and professional objectives: |
| Performance Objectives (On the Job) Supervisor's Comments:  |
|   |
| Student's Comments:   |
|   |
| Professional Objectives (Attitude & Behavior) Supervisor's Comments:  |
| Student's Comments:   |
|   |

| SECTION 4 - General Comments  |   |
|---|---|
| Comment on Overall Performance<br>Supervisor's Comments:                                    |   |
|   |   |
| Student's Comments:   |   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
| <b>EMPLOYER</b> Name and title of person completing this evaluation form - human resources) | (usually the supervisor, a manager or a representative of |
| Name:   |   |
| Title:  | Date:   |
| We urge that you discuss the evaluation with the student.                                   |   |
| STUDENT SIGNATURE:  |   |
| Reviewed by Co-operative Education.   |   |
| Co-ordinator's Signature:   |   |
| Date:   |   |